

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 08/936,344  | 09/24/1997    | PAUL MICHAEL EMBREE  | 080398.P115         | 9648             |
| 75  | 90 02/05/2003 | •                    |                     |                  |
| BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR AND ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR |               |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |               |                      | HARVEY, MINSUN OH   |                  |
| LOS ANGELES, CA 90025   |               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 2644                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H



Application No. Ap

Applicant(s)

08/936,344

Art Unit

EMBREE et al

## Office Action Summary

Examiner
MINSUN HARVEY

|          | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co  |   |  |
|----------|---|---|--|
|          | for Reply   |   |  |
|          | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPI<br>MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   | RE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM                                     |  |
|          | sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, how   | wever, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the  |  |
|          | g date of this communication.<br>period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory n   | ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.            |  |
| - If NO  | period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application                         | SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.       |  |
| - Any re | eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communic<br>d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   |   |  |
| Status   | a patent term adjustment. See 37 GTT 1.704(a).  |   |  |
| 1) 💢     | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 18, 2002  |   |  |
| 2a) 🗌    | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This action is nor  | n-final.  |  |
| 3) 🗆     | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. |   |  |
| Disposi  | ition of Claims   |   |  |
| 4) 🗶     | Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-13</u>  | is/are pending in the application.                                |  |
| 4        | 4a) Of the above, claim(s)  | is/are withdrawn from consideration.                              |  |
| 5) 🗆     | Claim(s)  | is/are allowed.   |  |
| 6) 💢     | Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-13   | is/are rejected.  |  |
| 7) 🗆     | Claim(s)  | is/are objected to.   |  |
| 8) 🗆     | Claims  | _ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         |  |
| Applica  | ation Papers  |   |  |
| 9) 🗆     | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |
| 10)      | The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |
|          | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s)  |   |  |
| 11)      | The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examine |  |
|          | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Off   | ice action.   |  |
| 12)      | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |  |
|          | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und   | der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).                                |  |
| a) [     | ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:  |   |  |
|          | 1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re  | eceived.  |  |
|          | 2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re-   | ceived in Application No  |  |
|          | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau (PCT I  | s have been received in this National Stage                       |  |
| *S       | see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified   |   |  |
| 14)      | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority to   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  |  |
| a) [     | The translation of the foreign language provisional applicat  | ion has been received   |  |

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

25

Art Unit: 2644

1. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the second plurality of memory banks" in line 6 to 7.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2 to 4 and 6 to 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen in view of Van Nostrand.

Chen discloses a system having first and second buses for processing real-time audio data from a plurality of audio channels, the system comprising: a first processor (10) and a second processor (11) coupled to the first and second buses (13-16), respectively; a plurality of memory banks of semiconductor memory devices coupled to the first and second buses for storing the audio data (12), the plurality of memory banks being accessible to the first and second processors for operating selected from the group comprising read and write operations (col. 11, lines 18 to 34); the first processor performs a read operation on a first memory bank and the second processor performs a write operation on a second memory bank (col. 5, lines 11 to 19). Chen does not disclose the plurality of memory banks storing subsets of data, the subsets corresponding to different groups of channels; and a plurality of selectors coupled to the first and second buses to select the memory banks for access by one of the first and second processors.

Application/Control Number: 08/936,344

•

Art Unit: 2644

Van Nostrand discloses a semiconductor memory devices which is comprised of storing subsets of data, wherein the subsets corresponding to different groups of channels (page 4, line 30 to page 5, line 2); a plurality of selectors which include a plurality of address multiplexers and data transceivers (page 5, line 33 to page 6, line 19); the subsets are stored in the memory banks in an interleaving manner (page 6, line 35 to page 7); and storing comprises storing one of the subsets of data in one of the memory banks (storing data in memory array in bank a), the method further comprising reading stored audio data from a second of the memory banks (reading the data from a memory array in bank B). Since Van Nostrand has disclosed using subsets corresponding to different groups of data channels, it would have been obvious to combine Van Nostrand's teaching of memory banks with Chen because by implementing using subsets corresponding to different groups of data channels, the system would be able to handle a continuous stream of data at a high rate of speed. Chen as modified do not disclose that the system is being used for an audio data. However, even though Chen as modified do not disclose that the system is being used for an audio data, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to use the system of Chen as modified in storing an audio data as claimed because it is well known in the art that a software designer could set a system where a system would store/read different kinds of data.

Page 3

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Minsun Oh Harvey** whose telephone number is (703) 308-6741.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Bill Isen**, can be reached at (703) 305-4386.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

MINSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER